

04233

From: "ContactForm@stevens.senate.gov" <ContactForm@stevens.senate.gov>
 Date: 4/23/2008 12:01:38 PM
 To: "webmail@stevens-ic.senate.gov" <webmail@stevens-ic.senate.gov>
 Cc:
 Subject: Communications

<IP>216.115.122.20</IP>
 <APP>SCCMAIL
 <PREFIX>Mrs.</PREFIX>
 <FIRST>Lisa</FIRST>
 <MIDDLE></MIDDLE>
 <LAST>Huffman</LAST>
 <ADDR1>PO Box 55269</ADDR1>
 <ADDR2></ADDR2>
 <CITY>North Pole</CITY>
 <STATE>Alaska</STATE>
 <ZIP>99705</ZIP>
 <PHONE_H>907-490-4878</PHONE_H>
 <PHONE_B>907-490-0134</PHONE_B>
 <EMAIL>lhuffman@northstark12.ak.us</EMAIL>
 <SUBJECT>Communications</SUBJECT>
 <MSG>Re: FCC Proceeding 04-233

FILED/ACCEPTED

MAY 22 2008

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Senator Stevens:

As the mother of two young children, my husband and I value the Christian radio stations and their positive influences in our lives. We listen to KLove and Air 1 daily and do not want to see any changes made that will impact their ability to "run themselves." These radio stations are supported by listeners and are accountable to them, they should not be required to have an advisory board! They should also not be required the excess paperwork as to what they are playing, when, etc. What a needless waste of time! They should not have to grant air time to anyone who requests it! Do not make all of these bureaucratic requirement wasting tax payer money and Christian radio stations and their listeners time and money!

Sincerely,

Lisa Huffman</MSG>

<AFFL>ENEWS</AFFL>
 </APP>

No. of Copies rec'd 0
 List ABCDE

04-233

From: "ContactForm@stevens.senate.gov" <ContactForm@stevens.senate.gov>
Date: 4/26/2008 8:13:02 PM
To: "webmail@stevens-1q.senate.gov" <webmail@stevens-1q.senate.gov>
Cc:
Subject: Communications

FILED/ACCEPTED

MAY 22 2008

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

<IP>206.223.207.184</IP>
<APP>SCCMAIL
<PREFIX>Mr and Mrs</PREFIX>
<FIRST>Randy</FIRST>
<MIDDLE>W</MIDDLE>
<LAST>Roberts</LAST>
<ADDR1>620 Lotus</ADDR1>
<ADDR2></ADDR2>
<CITY>Ketchikan</CITY>
<STATE>Alaska</STATE>
<ZIP>99901</ZIP>
<PHONE_H>907-225-2492</PHONE_H>
<PHONE_B>(907) 225-9815</PHONE_B>
<EMAIL>brroberts@kpunet.net</EMAIL>
<SUBJECT>Communications</SUBJECT>
<MSG>Comments in Response to Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
MB Docket No. 04-233

I submit the following comments in response to the Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (the "NPRM"), released Jan. 24, 2008, in MB Docket No. 04-233.
Any new FCC rules, policies or procedures must not violate First Amendment rights. A number of proposals discussed in the NPRM, if enacted, would do so -- and must not be adopted.
(1) The FCC must not force radio stations, especially religious broadcasters, to take advice from people who do not share their values. The NPRM's proposed advisory board proposals would impose such unconstitutional mandates. Religious broadcasters who resist advice from those who don't share their values could face increased harassment, complaints and even loss of license for choosing to follow their own consciences, rather than allowing incompatible viewpoints to shape their programming. The First Amendment prohibits government, including the FCC, from dictating what viewpoints a broadcaster, particularly a religious broadcaster, must present.
(2) The FCC must not turn every radio station into a public forum where anyone and everyone has rights to air time. Proposed public access requirements would do so -- even if a religious broadcaster conscientiously objects to the message. The First Amendment forbids imposition of message delivery mandates on any religion.
(3) The FCC must not force revelation of specific editorial decision-making information. The choice of programming, especially religious programming, is not properly dictated by any government agency -- and proposals to force reporting on such things as who produced what programs would intrude on constitutionally-protected editorial choices.
(4) The FCC must not establish a two-tiered renewal system in which certain licensees would be automatically barred from routine renewal application processing. The proposed mandatory special renewal review of certain classes of applicants by the Commissioners themselves would amount to coercion of religious broadcasters. Those who stay true to their consciences and present only the messages they correspond to their beliefs could face long, expensive and potentially ruinous renewal proceedings.
(5) Many Christian broadcasters operate on tight budgets, as do many smaller market secular stations. Keeping the electricity flowing is often a challenge. Yet, the Commission proposes to further squeeze niche and smaller market broadcasters, by substantially raising costs in two ways: (a) by requiring staff presence whenever a station is on the air and, (b) by further restricting main studio location choices. Raising costs with these proposals would force service cutbacks -- and curtailed service is contrary to the public interest.
We urge the FCC not to adopt rules, procedures or policies discussed above.</MSG>

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

04-233

From: "ContactForm@stevens.senate.gov" <ContactForm@stevens.senate.gov>
Date: 4/16/2008 5:14:27 AM
To: "webmail@stevens-ig.senate.gov" <webmail@stevens-ig.senate.gov>
Cc:
Subject: Communications

FILED/ACCEPTED

MAY 22 2008

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

<IP>66.58.144.240</IP>
<APP>SCCMAIL
<PREFIX>Ms.</PREFIX>
<FIRST>Debra</FIRST>
<MIDDLE>Gail</MIDDLE>
<LAST>Fannin</LAST>
<ADDR1>P.O. Bbx 671784</ADDR1>
<ADDR2></ADDR2>
<CITY>Chugiak</CITY>
<STATE>Alaska</STATE>
<ZIP>99567</ZIP>
<PHONE_H>907-688-5610</PHONE_H>
<PHONE_B>907-575-3524</PHONE_B>
<EMAIL>dfannin@gci.net</EMAIL>
<SUBJECT>Communications</SUBJECT>

<MSG>Re: MB Docket 04-233 proceeding In response to Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. I am opposed to this restriction on Christian Radio Stations. I depend on Christian Radio to keep me encouraged in the cold, dark winters. I live alone. I also do the 2 hour commute to Anchorage to work and it helps keep me calm as trucks are trying to run me off the road, or if I get stuck on the highway for another wreck. I am a nurse and work in an acute care hospital and it helps decrease the stress, keep my priorities straight and to stay positive. Why is it in a country that extoles diversity do the Christian values get denied or repressed so unmercifully instead of defended?</MSG>

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

04-233

My Mail Granted Approval by Another User -- 14 Record(s)

#	ID	Date In	In Method	Assigned To	Status	Correspondent	Issues	Out Method	Letter Name	Batch	Modified Date	Workflow ID
1	10064698	4/23/2008	E-Mail	Mark Farrell	Approved	Mr. and Mrs. Leo and Kristy Noyes snkhoyes@gci.net	13750-Broadcasting	US Mail	(Customized mark buck const v.1) Q		04/25/2008 10:09 AM	1011542

E-Mail Subj: Communications

I submit the following comments in response to the Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (the "NPRM"), released Jan. 24, 2008, in MB Docket No. 04-233.

Any new FCC rules, policies or procedures must not violate First Amendment rights. A number of proposals discussed in the NPRM, if enacted, would do so and must not be adopted.

FILED/ACCEPTED

MAY 22 2008

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

(1) The FCC must not force radio stations, especially religious broadcasters, to take advice from people who do not share their values. The NPRM's proposed advisory board proposals would impose such unconstitutional mandates. Religious broadcasters who resist advice from those who don't share their values could face increased harassment, complaints and even loss of license for choosing to follow their own consciences, rather than allowing incompatible viewpoints to shape their programming. The First Amendment prohibits government, including the FCC, from dictating what viewpoints a broadcaster, particularly a religious broadcaster, must present.

(2) The FCC must not turn every radio station into a public forum where anyone and everyone has rights to air time. Proposed public access requirements would do so even if a religious broadcaster conscientiously objects to the message. The First Amendment forbids imposition of message delivery mandates on any religion.

(3) The FCC must not force revelation of specific editorial decision-making information. The choice of programming, especially religious programming, is not properly dictated by any government agency and proposals to force reporting on such things as who produced what programs would intrude on constitutionally-protected editorial choices.

(4) The FCC must not establish a two-tiered renewal system in which certain licensees would be automatically barred from routine renewal application processing. The proposed mandatory special renewal review of certain classes of applicants by the Commissioners themselves would amount to coercion of religious broadcasters. Those who stay true to their consciences and present only the messages they correspond to their beliefs could face long, expensive and potentially ruinous renewal proceedings.

(5) Many Christian broadcasters operate on tight budgets, as do many smaller market secular stations. Keeping the electricity flowing is often a challenge. Yet, the Commission proposes to further squeeze niche and smaller market broadcasters, by substantially raising costs in two ways: (a) by requiring staff presence whenever a station is on the air and, (b) by further restricting main studio location choices. Raising costs with these proposals would force service cutbacks and curtailed service is contrary to the public interest.

We urge the FCC not to adopt rules, procedures or policies discussed above.

Please help save Christian Radio! Thanks so much for your time and attention.

Response: Customized mark buck const v.1 Q

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 22 2008
FCC-MAILROOM

Comments in Response to Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
MB Docket No. 04-233

Submit the following comments in response to the Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (the NPRM), released Jan. 24, 2008, in MB Docket No. 04-233.

Any new FCC rules, policies or procedures must not violate First Amendment rights. A number of proposals discussed in the NPRM, if enacted, would do so – and must not be adopted.

(1) The FCC must not force radio stations, especially religious broadcasters, to take advice from people who do not share their values. The NPRM's proposed advisory board proposals would impose such unconstitutional mandates. Religious broadcasters who resist advice from those who don't share their values could face increased harassment, complaints and even loss of license for choosing to follow their own consciences, rather than allowing incompatible viewpoints to shape their programming. The First Amendment prohibits government, including the FCC, from dictating what viewpoints a broadcaster, particularly a religious broadcaster, must present.

(2) The FCC must not turn every radio station into a public forum where anyone and everyone has rights to air time. Proposed public access requirements would do so – even if a religious broadcaster conscientiously objects to the message. The First Amendment forbids imposition of message delivery mandates on any religion.

(3) The FCC must not force revelation of specific editorial decision-making information. The choice of programming, especially religious programming, is not properly dictated by any government agency – and proposals to force reporting on such things as who produced what programs would intrude on constitutionally-protected editorial choices.

(4) The FCC must not establish a two-tiered renewal system in which certain licensees would be automatically barred from routine renewal application processing. The proposed mandatory special renewal review of certain classes of applicants by the Commissioners themselves would amount to coercion of religious broadcasters. Those who stay true to their consciences and present only the messages they correspond to their beliefs could face long, expensive and potentially ruinous renewal proceedings.

(5) Many Christian broadcasters operate on tight budgets, as do many smaller market secular stations. Keeping the electricity flowing is often a challenge. Yet, the Commission proposes to further squeeze niche and smaller market broadcasters, by substantially raising costs in two ways: (a) by requiring staff presence whenever a station is on the air and, (b) by further restricting main studio location choices. Raising costs with these proposals would force service cutbacks – and curtailed service is contrary to the public interest.

As a Sovereign man of these united States of America, I strongly urge the FCC not to adopt rules, procedures or policies discussed above that clearly violates my God given rights as are outlined in the first Amendment. We both know that in order to commit a crime, a law had to have been violated. The way I see this, proceeding fourth with the NPRM breaks the law set forth by the u. S. Constitution. Therefore that act would be considered - a CRIME.

Shaun C. Robinson
Autograph

15 MAY 08
Date

Robinson: Shaun C.
Name

8736 Hunters Trail, Fort Worth, Texas [76123]
Address

Secured Party in behalf of
SHAUN C. ROBINSON
Title

817 372 4401
Phone

Copy to: Senator John Cornyn (R-TX)
Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison (R-TX)
US House Rep Joe Barton – TX, 6th

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Certified Mail #7006 2760 0004 2397 5536